

Honor Council

H A V E R F O R D C O L L E G E

Roadrunner Abstract Discussion Minutes from November 10, 2010

Present: Anna, Emily, Florencia, Franklyn, Jonathan '14, Glynis, and Rachel '12

1. Moment of Silence
2. Read the Intro and Discussion
3. Anna: Lots of issues – who to confront, etc. Can start with anything we think is interesting. Responses?
4. Jonathan: What is a Student Panel, exactly?
5. Emily: Someone thinks Honor Council as a body broke the Code, thus this is how you confront.
6. Jonathan: But shouldn't confrontation be between individuals? Should have confronted the Co-Chair as an individual representing a body. (Or something, not sure what he is talking about)
7. Anna: Honor Council does something wrong, gets confronted all together. Even if it was an individual he should have been going to a Student Panel, because he represented Council.
8. Florencia: Honor Council is a body, makes group decisions, has to confront the whole body.
9. Rachel: Do you think that the body is accountable? She does, thinks that Roadrunner's contact was initially with Council, so Wile E. took over for the Council, thus the Council is responsible.
10. Anna: Do you think it matters that Wile E. signed his e-mails as Honor Council Co-Chair?
11. Rachel: Yes.

12. Franklyn: But he went rogue, Council couldn't have known what Wile E. was doing.
13. Glynis: Right, he deviated, so Council could have done anything to stop it. The violation reflects badly on Council, but they are not necessarily the right group to confront.
14. Franklyn: Are there any recently recorded Student Panels to get an idea of how this works? What's happened in the past?
15. Anna: Once Council was too late in releasing an abstract, was confronted by a community member, but that's the only one I can think of.
16. Franklyn: Have issues appropriating one person's actions to an entire body, especially when the decision wasn't made with the other members' knowledge. Think if Roadrunner had confronted Wile E. individually, we would see a different result/discussion.
17. Glynis: Bringing Council to trial makes a dialogue to restore a breach tricky because you aren't working out issues in conversation. Should have been a mediation between the two parties.
18. Jonathan: Struggling with the fact that the breach of trust with the community is with Council as a whole.
19. Glynis: But it wasn't the student body that experienced the breach of trust because the community didn't know. Community might doubt when the abstract comes out, but there is no practical way to deal with the suspicion proactively.
20. Franklyn: Thinks Wile E. should have resigned because he breached the trust of Council and failed as a leader. Regardless of how this was treated officially, he should have resigned because he breached the trust that the community gave him when he was elected.
21. Glynis: Problem because elected officials resign when the community can't see the nuance of the problem.