

Honor Council

H A V E R F O R D C O L L E G E

Public Portion Minutes from December 5, 2010

Members Present: Florencia, Alex, Sam, Jamey, Ian, Seth Emma, Phil, Charlie, Noah, Abby, Anna, Emily, Connor, AJ, Josh, Hilary

Members Absent:

Guests: Jen, Sophie, Jessica, Jacob, Jacob

1. Moment of Silence
2. Introductions because HCOC is here
3. Committee Reports
 - a. Student Outreach
 - i. AJ: Still working on poster and tshirt designs. Posters are pre-tshirts so that people will know what confrontologue is. (Emma is still a hater).
 - ii. Anna: Could you please have it done for next meeting. Delegate.
 - b. Faculty Outreach
 - i. Emily: Sent you all an email last minutes
 - ii. Jamey: Did not meet today. Will meet soon and will email you when we're done. Probably by Wednesday.
 - c. Trico
 - i. Noah: We never met
 - ii. Emma: Sent an email to everyone and no one responded. Dean Denney also has not responded.
 - iii. Emily: Where are you?
 - iv. Emma: WE have a document that covers academic violations that we hope to expand to just violations that happened at Haverfrod by students at other schools. Bryn Mawr said they would email during Thanksgiving and they didn't. Sam could you? (yes) and Swathmore has blown everything off.
 - v. Emily: Please meet with Denney before next week so we can get going before break.
 - vi. Anna: Get everyone in the committee to compile a schedule to then give to Denney's secretary.
 - vii. Emma: Honor Council party
 - d. Abstract Committee
 - i. We may or may not have ment.
 - e. JSAAPP
 - i. This was a terrible weekend!! But before we got to tell the board that we had a month without an incident.
 - f. Safety and Security
 - i. Florencia: We don't know when we're meeting. She calls me Florencin
 - g. Noah:
 - i. Form Content Degree of Difficulty during finals

These minutes reflect the opinions of Hilary "Max Goof" Schwartz and Alex "Simba" Tonsing, the Council co-secretaries (hschwart@hc or atonsing@hc) and are neither approved nor reviewed by the rest of Council. Questions/comments? Email code@hc!

- ii. Professors there asked leading questions
- h. HCOC!!!!
 - i. As we move into the spring, last year's HCOC was awesome, and we want to continue. Specifically having HC members paired with small groups. Who could serve as contact people next semester? Training that HCOC does about Honor Council along with Plenary and Honor Board. And any other ideas about how the 2 programs can work together
 - ii. Honor Council training will be the 3rd training.
 - iii. Want faces for Honor Council, to have Honor Council contact people even if they aren't on Honor Council next year. Commitment in that you would have to come to a training and be available to answer questions. WE NEED NON-SENIORS.
 - 1. Alex, Florencia, Phil (might be an HCO), Sam, Anna, Emily, Josh (can't be there but will be an e-mail contact), Charlie (might be an HCO), Hilary (over e-mail), Emma (over e-mail)
 - iv. Things Council wants HCO program to deal with:
 - 1. Confrontation
 - 2. Meet with different people in charge of trainings? For instance, talk with person in charge of confrontation training (Jess).
 - v. Questions?
 - 1. Emily: What do you see the role of HC Liason being once the freshmen are here?
 - a. Largely questions and help for the HCOs as a resource
 - b. Depending on how available these people are and want to be involved. HCOs have a specific way that they are trained and there's overlap butttt ...this is a person who is available to address a different set of questions and provide an additional perspective
- i. Sticky Issues: Honor Council Information Sharing
 - i. CSSP
 - 1. Martha is checking with CSSP people
 - ii. Honor Council juries and past violations
 - 1. Had been discussing as an overarching concept.
 - a. Can we consent that we want the jury to find out?
 - 2. Details are so important- people weren't comfortable consenting without it
 - 3. Agreed- if we can make this work, how could we do it? What would that look like?
 - 4. If a person has had a violation, will only find out at the end of circumstantial. Would be after they've decided if a violation had occurred and the person would have the chance to respond.

5. Constrain to “types of violations”. Difference between academic and social.
6. How would they find out?
 - a. Either the librarian or Martha would tell the Trial Chair- and the Chair would tell the jury
 - b. Having the confronted party explain themselves during the circumstantial portion
 - c. I thought this was part of the somewhat plan?
 - i. Offer the confronted party the chance to bring up their past trial
 - ii. If they don’t, the chair will
 - iii. A lot like confrontation: give the confronted party the chance to do it themselves, and if they don’t, the chair will do it.
 - iv. So: The chair will find out because they looked it up/were told. “We’re going to talk about this. Do you want to bring it up or should I?”
 - d. The chair should find out during circumstantial?
 - i. Hard to be consistent. Impossible to do it in every case. Chair may already know. Maybe the chair should just know?
 - ii. If possible, the Chair shouldn’t find out until the circumstantial portion.
 - iii. Any possible circumstance where it would be valuable to know if the confronting had been involved in anything before
 1. Teachers who clearly don’t know how to explain guidelines
 2. Student who always thinks someone is copying off her paper
 3. The confidentiality of the previously confronted parties?
 4. “Background checks”
 - e. Why is there no contention right now? Because the people who have issues with this aren’t talking because we’re working under the assumption that we like this idea...
 - i. But the idea of this discussion is to get what would make you guys feel better about a system like this
 - ii. Given that we have had repeated discussions on this topic, I would personally feel more comfortable if we as Council handled what we need to deal with...

1. WE’RE HAVING A MEETING
NEXT WEEK!

- iii. Might be good to continue next semester.
 - 1. Not going to make any more progress this semester
- iv. We're in a unique position to hash it out right now.
- v. Irreconcilable differences
 - 1. But that's how most of Honor Council issues are...
 - 2. Worried that this isn't true. We're trying to consent- to find a middle ground. To find something that people are more comfortable with.
By saying it's irreconcilable- you're making it so. –Awesome speech by Anna
- vi. We're arguing about whether or not we're going to talk about this!!!
- vii. Go ahead with the rest of our agenda and come back to this with a better attitude
- f. Honor Council Party
 - i. This upcoming weekend?
 - ii. Why not a weekday?
 - iii. DOODLE!
 - iv. Is it open to other people?
 - 1. Friends of Honor Council –SC and people who hang around HC.
- g. Brunch with deans during Finals Week
 - i. DOODLE